Unveiling the use of \textit{creta} in Roman plasters: analysis of clay wall paintings from \textit{Brixia} (Italy)
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\textbf{Abstract}

The paper describes the analysis of a particular kind of plaster from the walls of the Roman Sanctuary (first half of the 1\textsuperscript{st} century BCE) in the centre of Brixia (now Brescia, Italy), which is an outstanding example of Roman Republican architecture. The walls were plastered and painted with different patterns, imitating marble panels and curtains. Optical microscopy on thin sections, X-ray diffraction, and infrared spectroscopy were performed on several samples of the plaster in order to reveal the execution technique. The palette consisted of glauconite, celadonite, Egyptian blue, and red and yellow ochres. In some cases, an organic compound, possibly a lipidic compound, was present in the external paint layer, as a surface treatment.

The plaster contains two superimposed coats: the render coat with lime binder and sandy aggregate; the finish coat with a clay fraction (illite, chlorite, kaolinite), together with calcite from slaked lime and grains of quartz, silicate and carbonate rocks. Although Vitruvius’ \textit{De Architectura} reported the use of \textit{creta} (clay) as daub smeared on reed vaults, the Sanctuary of Brixia represents the first documented use in Roman buildings in a painted plaster laying on a stone masonry wall.
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\textbf{Introduction}

Many publications have traced the history and execution technique of Roman wall paintings, which were generally true frescoes, implying that pigments were applied to wet lime plaster and thus fixed to the wall. Unconventional plasters using alternative binders in painted plaster walls have been illustrated in ancient treatises, although few papers have been published on this topic (Laurie 1910; Granger 1934; Mora et al. 1984).

This paper presents the results of an analytical investigation of Roman wall paintings, from the 1\textsuperscript{st} century BCE Sanctuary (archaeological area of \textit{Capitoliun}) of ancient Brixia (Brescia, Italy) located in the west of the \textit{Regio X Venetia} (Northern-Italy) (Figure 1a). The town used to be one of the most important Roman settlements in northern Italy, along the route of the \textit{Via Gallica} (from Milan to Verona) on the edge of the fertile Po Valley and Padana plain. In the last decades of the 1\textsuperscript{st} century CE the Sanctuary was buried during the construction of the \textit{Capitoliun}, a hexastyle temple closing the northern side of the \textit{Forum}, a long and narrow square measuring 140 x 40 metres and flanked by colonnades. These buildings were the result of a huge urban transformation, following the victory of Vespasian in the struggle for power (69 CE). The building stone was a cream carbonate rock classified as a dolomitic limestone (called
“Botticino”) after a petrographic comparison with samples coming from quarries located North-East of Brescia (Botticino Mattina) and pertaining to a lower Jurassic formation called “Corna”. The Capitolium and the Forum were later abandoned, reused by the Goths and Longobards, then rearranged into new buildings from the Renaissance to the Neo-classicism. Brescia remained under the rule of the Venetian Republic from 1428 to 1796, becoming an important site of weapon manufacturing thanks to the iron mines (siderite) located in the nearby Val Trompia. The Roman remains of the Capitolium area were excavated and restored between 1939-43 (Rossi 2002; Rossi 2014).

The four-cells Sanctuary, located in the centre of Brixia (Figure 1b), is the most outstanding and the best-preserved example of Roman Republican architecture. Three rectangular cells were discovered between 1823 and 1826: masonries (opus reticulatum) made of pyramidal elements of a Jurassic limestone (called Medolo) and painted plasters together with stone mosaic pavements. The 1990-94 excavations unearthed the westernmost cella. This was divided in three naves by two rows of brick and stucco columns, three walls (which are still standing about 3 m from the ground) were plastered and painted as a colonnade supporting an entablature and holding an imitation marble panel in the middle and curtains and veils in the lower part (Figure 1c).

During the conservation work, an analytical survey was carried out in order to reveal the painting technique and the composition of the plasters, and to verify the state of conservation of the wall paintings (Bugini and Folli 1997; Bugini et al. 2000; Bugini and Folli 2014).

The preliminary mineralogical analyses identified a particular kind of plaster coat with a typical clay texture and a distinct greenish colour. To the best of our knowledge, clay mortars have rarely been documented in the literature, and
the wall paintings of the Capitolium Sanctuary are a unique example of Roman architecture. Gettens (Gettens 1938a; 1938b) describes the clay wall support of the wall paintings from Bamiyan (Afghanistan) and from Kizil (Chinese Turkestan). Paramasivan provides another example of the use of clay in the Indian wall paintings in the Bagh caves (7th century CE), made of “earth stucco” and pigments (Paramasivan 1939). In the central Andes, mural paintings (1800 BCE to 1600 CE) were found to be traditionally executed on clay plaster (Bonavina and Lyon 1985). In the same area, Ortega studied the wall paintings of Templo Mayor (Mexico City), dating back to the Aztec Culture (1300-1500 CE), revealing clay substrates that contained pigment particles (Ortega et al. 2001), and Barba determined that, during the Mesoamerican Classic period, the substrates of lime plasters in Teotihuacan (Central Mexico) were prepared with beaten earth (Barba et al. 2009). 15th century Nepalese mural decorations were investigated highlighting ground and preparation layers made of clay as a binder (Mazzeo et al. 2004). The painted walls of the Egyptian tomb of Amenhotep III (1400-1360 BCE) were also made of clay (Uda 2004). There appears to be only one article regarding the Italian heritage, which describes the investigation of traditional Etruscan paintings in the 5th century Tomb of the Monkey (Chiusi, Italy), where pigments were applied on fine clay layers (Diaz-Herraiz et al. 2013).

An examination of Roman authors, however, suggested the use of clay in wall paintings. In fact, Vitruvius’ De Architectura uses various terms (argilla, creta, lutum) to describe a clayey component of plasters. Vitruvius mentions that the Romans commonly used clay (argilla) to fill in the cracks in thermal baths: “Earumque camararum superiorea coagmenta ex argilla cum capillo subacta liniantur” (Book 5, Chapter 10.3: “The joints on the outer face of the vaults should be coated with clay mixed with hair” - Schofield 2009).

The term creta is reported with reference to brick making and vault plastering: “Cameris dispositis et et intexitis imum caelum eaerum trullisetur, deinde harena derigatur, postea autem creta aut marmore poliatur” (Book 7, Chapter 3.3: “Once the vaults have been put in place and woven with reeds, the soffit should be rough-plastered, then spread with sand-mortar, after which it should be finished off with chalk or powdered marble” - Schofield 2009). The term chalk used by English translators, like the term craie (used in France) or kreide (used in Germany), does not match the Latin term creta. This term (used also in Italian) is a plastic earthy material made of clay minerals used in brick making. Chalk or craie or kreide is a typically white, soft and porous calcium carbonate generally pure, made of Coccoliths, Foraminifera and other organisms. The same term also identifies a Cretaceous geologic formation, including clay-rich levels towards the base, widely outcrops in northern France (Bassin de Paris), southern England, Flanders and western Germany.

Vitruvius describes the use of lutum for the plaster coatings of on timber partitions with reeds: “Sin autem in craticis tectoria erunt facienda, quibus necesse est in arrectaris et transversariis rimas fieri, ideo quod, luto cum linuntur, necessario recipiunt humorem, cum autem arescent, estenuati in tectoris faciunt rinas (...)” (Book 7, Chapter 3.11: “But if revetments are to be applied to half-timbered walls, cracks will certainly appear along the uprights and cross-bars because they are coated with clay-mortar and cause cracks in the revetments when they dry and shrink.” - Schofield 2009).

Examples of clay plasters have been detected in archaeological sites in Lombardy, such as Brescia (Mariani 1996), Calvatone (Mariani 1997) and Milan (Miranda 1995; Pagani 1995; Pagani 2004), in Switzerland (Lausanne - Berti and Castella 1992) and in France (Paris - Eristov 1995 or Rouen - Carel 1995), but they regard the daubing of ceiling interwoven with reeds or the earthen architecture (adobe, pisé, torchis).

Thus, the particular findings in the Sanctuary led us to exploit further analyses in order to focus on the clay fraction. The identification of constituent materials was the basic objective. Samples from walls were examined by well-
established analytical techniques, capable of providing rich chemical and mineralogical information on the samples: X-ray diffraction (XRD), optical microscopy on thin sections (OM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).

Materials and methods

Several plaster fragments were collected from the rubble filling the western cella of the Sanctuary and were classified into three groups (Table 1):
- group A, containing samples from the upper part of the southern wall (near the impost of the vault), covered by a painted plaster reproducing an entablature (Bianchi 2014) (Figure 1d);
- group B, containing samples from the southern wall, with a reproduction of a ship (Mariani 2002) (Figure 2a);
- group C, containing samples from the southern wall, with a monochrome painted surface (Munsell not. 5GY 6/1) (Mariani 2002).

![Photographs of samples of Group B: reconstructed fragments of the ship painting (a); polished cross section of sample 5243 showing the thin finish coat (top) and the thick render coat (down) (bar=1mm) (b).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Stratigraphic Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4936</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>574+592</td>
<td>Monochrome painting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4937</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>Monochrome painting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3644</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>Painting depicting a ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4748-4749</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>Painting depicting a ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5125-5126</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>Painting depicting a ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5240/5243</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Monochrome painting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5244-5245</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Monochrome painting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Description of the plaster samples

Optical microscopy

Preliminary morphological observations were carried out on micro-fragments using a Leitz Wild M420 stereomicroscope. Thin cross sections (30µm thick) of the samples were observed in reflected light using a Leitz Ortholux microscope with Ultropack illuminator and in transmitted polarized light by a Nikon Eclipse E400Pol
microscope with Nikon Pol objectives. All microscopes were equipped with a digital-image capture system. Samples were impregnated by a resin without interference on the optical properties of the plaster components, the cut was carried out using a diamond saw.

X-ray diffraction

Fragments were detached, using a cutter, from the finish coat of each sample (weight 0.05 g – 0.11 g), gently pulverised in a mortar and then diluted in water (30 ml). The coarse grains (dolomite, calcite and quartz; size 1200 - 100 micrometres) were removed using wet sieving (sieve of 71 micrometres) and each dried sample was placed on glass slides for the first XRD analysis. The analysis was repeated after two hours of exposition to ethylene glycol at about 60°C and cooling, and after two hours in a muffle furnace at about 550°C and cooling. After the treatment, hydrochloric acid was added to the sample to remove the chlorite. PANalytical X’Pert PRO MPD instrument was used with the following conditions: generator settings 40mA and 40kV; radiation Cu-Ka λ=1.5406 Å; scan range 3-35° 2θ; step size 0.017 2θ; scan step time 10.3376 s; continuous scan type; software PANalytical X’Pert HighScore.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

The samples scraped off the paint layer were analyzed as KBr (Sigma-Aldrich FTIR Grade) pellets by a FTIR spectrophotometer BioRad Excalibur Series FTS 3000, DTGS detector, in the transmission mode (400 to 4000 cm⁻¹, 4cm⁻¹ resolution, 16 scans). Regarding the extraction protocol, around 50mg of fine powders from the paint layer and from the bulk of the samples were put in a glass test tube, completely dipped into organic solvents (around 0.5mL) and sonicated for 15 minutes. The extractions were conducted using hexane (Sigma-Aldrich 95% anhydrous), toluene (Sigma-Aldrich 99.8% anhydrous) and ethyl acetate (Sigma-Aldrich 99% anhydrous), in order to extract nonpolar and polar components respectively (Derrick et al. 1999). The test tubes were centrifuged for 3 minutes. The soluble fraction was placed on a NaCl plate and analysed in the transmission mode after gentle evaporation of the solvent. Solvent blanks were prepared to check for contaminations.

Results and Discussion

Optical observations of the plasters of the Republican Sanctuary in Brescia showed two superimposed coats: the render coat lying on the stone masonry and the finish coat supporting a painted layer.

The former is made of a mortar containing calcite from slaked lime and fine grained clasts from an alluvial sand, together with an addition of crushed brick (Table 2). The composition resembles the render coat coming from the middle part of the walls of the Sanctuary (Bugini and Folli 2014) and in several archaeological sites of Lombardy (Bugini and Folli 2013).

The composition of the finish coat enlightened by optical observation and XRD analysis is described in Table 2. The layer has a regular thickness (about 2.0 mm) but, in many cases, abrasions caused by friction among the rubble produced an uneven thickness.

As concerns group A, sample 4936 shows grains of dolomite, quartz and gneiss in a ground containing illite, chlorite, kaolinite and calcite; thin fissures run parallel to the coat surface (Figure 3a). Sample 4937 contains a ground made of illite, chlorite, kaolinite and calcite with elliptical pores running parallel to the coat surface (Figure 3b) and it is covered by a painted layer (0.15 mm thick) containing rounded grains of glauconite and celadonite (green earth, size 0.02 mm) and blue angular crystalline fragments (Egyptian blue, size 0.01 mm).

Grains of metamorphic rocks (quartz and silicates) as well as quartz crystals (Figure 3c) in a ground made of illite, chlorite, kaolinite and calcite were determined in the samples from the fragments reproducing the ship (group B), with
thin fissures and elliptical pores running parallel to the coat surface; the painted layer (0.1-0.2 mm thick) contains green earth and Egyptian blue, as suggested by both optical observations and XRD results.

Samples from group C are mainly composed of grains of quartz and dolomite, in a ground made of illite, chlorite, kaolinite and calcite with some elongated pores running parallel to the coat surface (Figure 3d); the pigments in the painted layer (about 0.15 mm thick) were recognized as green earth and Egyptian blue. XRD analysis showed the presence of iron oxide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Render coat</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Binder</th>
<th>Aggregate - Grain composition</th>
<th>Grain size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4936 (A)</td>
<td>microcrystalline calcite</td>
<td>Limestone, dolomite, flint, gneiss, (brick)</td>
<td>0.1-2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4937 (A)</td>
<td>microcrystalline calcite</td>
<td>Limestone, dolomite, flint, gneiss, (brick)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>microcrystalline calcite</td>
<td>Limestone, dolomite, flint, gneiss, (brick)</td>
<td>0.2 – 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>microcrystalline calcite</td>
<td>Limestone, dolomite, gneiss, (brick)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finish coat</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Coat thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Mineralogical composition (XRD analysis)</th>
<th>Grain composition (optical microscopy observation)</th>
<th>Grain size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4936 (A)</td>
<td>1.0 – 1.2</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Qz, Gn, Dol</td>
<td>0.4-0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4937 (A)</td>
<td>0.8-4.0</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Qz, Gn, Lim, Dol</td>
<td>0.4-1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3644 (B)</td>
<td>1.0-1.2</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>0.1-0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4748 (B)</td>
<td>1.8-2.0</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>0.1-0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4749 (B)</td>
<td>0.1-0.2</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5125 (B)</td>
<td>0.2-1.2</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Qz, Gn, Dol</td>
<td>0.3-0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5126 (B)</td>
<td>2.2-2.8</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Qz,Gn</td>
<td>0.4-1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5240 (C)</td>
<td>1.4-1.6</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Qz, Gn, Dol</td>
<td>0.2-1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5242 (C)</td>
<td>0.1-0.4</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5243 (C)</td>
<td>0.2-0.8</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5244 (C)</td>
<td>2.0-2.4</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Gn, Dol, Lim</td>
<td>0.4-1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5245 (C)</td>
<td>2.0-2.4</td>
<td>Ill, Ch, Qz, Calc,</td>
<td>Qz, Gn, Dol, Lim</td>
<td>0.2-1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Mineralogical analysis of the render coat and of the finish coat of the samples

In general terms, the finish coat appears as a decussate texture ground containing rock grains together with voids of various shape and size. Rock grains (quartz, gneiss, dolomite or limestone) are considered as a natural non-plastic constituent; the grain morphology generally shows equant shape, sub-angular corners (quartz and gneiss) or sub-rounded corners (carbonate rocks) with a size ranging from 0.1 to 1.4 mm. XRD analysis, carried out on samples treated as previously described, detected illite, chlorite, and kaolinite, acting as a binder, together with calcite. Calcite is referred to the carbonation of a slaked lime intentionally mixed with clay minerals. As the coarse grains of limestone and dolomite, present as non-plastic constituents, were removed from the samples before the XRD analyses, the presence of calcite is most likely due to the addition of slaked lime.
There is no evidence of exploitation of this kind of deposits in the surroundings of Brescia in the Roman period: a Roman brick furnace was unearthed near Lonato (about 25 km east), but the raw materials were not analyzed (Roffia 2008). In modern times different sites near Brescia have been exploited for brick manufacturing: lacustrine deposits (massive to laminate carbonate silts and clay - Upper to Middle Pleistocene) located south of Iseo (Borgonato, Timoline), whose composition is reported as "mainly illitic", but a precise identification of clay minerals is not available (Carta Geologica 2011); grey-brownish lacustrine deposits (Cerezzata, Ome) associated to the morainic amphitheatre of lake Iseo (Riss); fluvioglacial and fluvial deposits in the Prealpine area (Gavardo - Mindel); recent deposits in the plain (Milzanello) (Carta Geologica 1970).

The composition of the finish coat is different from that observed in plasters from the middle part of the walls of the Sanctuary (Bugini and Folli 2014), which are painted plasters, depicting marble panels or woven curtains, featuring calcite (lime) as binder and crushed dolomite as aggregate. The use of crushed carbonate rock as plaster aggregate, instead of the usual “marble powder”, has been detected in other plasters coming from different archaeological sites of Lombardy (Bugini and Folli 2013). The painted layers contain pigments (green earth, Egyptian blue, iron oxides), which have been frequently detected in Roman wall paintings of Lombardy (Bugini et al. 2015).

FTIR spectra completed the results of mineralogical analyses, proving very effective in identifying iron oxide pigments and the organic component of the plaster. In some cases, the analysis confirmed the mineralogical data. In all the
samples the presence of calcium carbonate, in the mineralogical form of calcite, was suggested by an asymmetric CO stretching band around 1435 cm$^{-1}$ and by the absorbance at 874 (out-of-plane bending vibration) and 713 cm$^{-1}$ (in-plane bending vibration) (Derrick et al. 1999).

Sometimes, as in sample 4749 (Figure 4a), the OH stretching band at 3623 cm$^{-1}$ coupled with 830-759 cm$^{-1}$ doublet, SiO stretching band at 1011 cm$^{-1}$ and SiO deformation bands at 530 and 471 cm$^{-1}$ may be attributed to clay minerals, such as illite (Wilson, 1994), although FTIR analysis cannot be diagnostic without the support of mineralogical investigations. The green pigment observed on the clay finish coat of the same sample was confirmed as Egyptian blue (Figure 4b) (Mirti et al. 1995). The peaks at 1163 (antisymmetrical SiOSi stretching modes) and 750-667 cm$^{-1}$ (symmetrical SiOSi stretching modes) were in fact diagnostic (Mirti et al. 1995). The SiO bending signal at 523 cm$^{-1}$ is also typical. The OH stretching and deformation bands at 3556 and 797 cm$^{-1}$ respectively (Figure 4b), as well as the SiO stretching bands at 1074-1011 and 471-440 cm$^{-1}$ suggests the presence also of green earth (Wilson 1994, Ospitali et al. 2008). The pigment is generally composed of $(K,Na)(Fe^{3+}, Al,Mg)_2(Si,Al)O_{10}(OH)_2$ (glauconite) and $K[(Al,Fe^{3+}), (Fe^{2+}, Mg)](Al, Si_{1.5})O_{10}(OH)_2$ (celadonite), which are chemically very similar, although their origin differs. The red pigment in sample 5243 (Figure 4c) was identified as red ochre. According to literature, an ochre is a natural earth containing silica and clay, and owing its color to iron oxide (Gettens and Stout 1966). The dominance of anhydrous iron oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$) and hydrous ferric oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$ H$_2$O) reveals a dominant red and yellow color respectively. The identification of red ochre through FTIR occurred due to the presence of very specific bands peculiar of hematite at
536-472 cm\(^{-1}\) and of the accessory minerals, whose bands at 3704-3616 cm\(^{-1}\) (kaolinite’s outer and inner hydroxyl ions stretching)1093-1033 cm\(^{-1}\) (SiO stretching bands of quartz and kaolinite), and 915-800 cm\(^{-1}\) (OH deformation of kaolinite) were clearly evident (Wilson 1995, Bikiaris et al. 1999). When present, the yellow pigment was identified by FTIR as yellow ochre for the signals of hydrous ferric oxide at 3150, 895 and 792 cm\(^{-1}\) (Wilson 1995, Bikiaris et al. 1999).

Organic matter was found as traces in some samples, with peaks in the regions around 2800-2900 cm\(^{-1}\), which could be ascribed to CH signals. As usual when dealing with archaeological samples, the amount of organic substances was too low for a reliable identification, due to natural and anthropic decay. A survey on organic solvent-soluble portions was designed. All the samples were extracted with various organic solvents, as described in the Materials and Methods, and the residues were analysed. A residue of about 1 weight percent was recovered only in case of hexane extraction. Spectra showed peaks typical of organic compounds. Generally, a CH bond was suggested by stretching and bending absorbance signals at 2925 and 2854 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The stretching absorbance of the CO bond was observed as a weak peak around 1738 cm\(^{-1}\). A comparison with reference standards of aged organic binders and the spectra found in the literature (Derrick et al. 1999), suggested that the samples obtained after extraction might contain a lipidic compound, possibly an oil.

A pair of samples containing organic compounds also presented the particular peaks of calcium oxalate. For example, sample 5243 (Figure 4c) showed signals at 1633 and 1318 (CO stretching vibration), 780 and 668 cm\(^{-1}\) (OCO bending vibrations), which could be ascribed to whewellite (CaC\(_2\)O\(_4\)·H\(_2\)O), which is the calcium oxalate monohydrate mineralogical phase (Petrov and Soptrajanov 1975).

**Conclusions**

The results highlighted the uncommon use of clay (creta) to make plaster on stone masonry. Clay was obviously used by the Romans in earthen architecture (lateres) or to make baked bricks (testae) as reported in Italian and European sites.

The Republican Sanctuary, unearthed in 1990-92 under the colonnaded Capitolium of Brixia, shows a particular kind of plaster made of two superimposed coats: a render coat with lime binder and sandy aggregate, a finish coat made of a clay fraction (illite, chlorite, kaolinite) together with an addition of calcite from slaked lime; grains of quartz, silicate and carbonate rocks pertain to the clay fraction. A different kind of plaster, still in situ in the middle part of the walls of the Sanctuary, shows on the contrary a finish coat made of lime as binder and carbonate rock fragments as aggregate.

The finish coat containing clay minerals seems to match a recipe reported by Vitruvius in regard to making of plaster for ceiling, but the Latin Author takes account of the use of clay mainly for daubing on reeds (De Architectura, Book 7, Chapter 3-Schofield 2009).

Thus, the finding sheds a light on a particular building technique set up during the Roman period, but never documented in a scientific report before now. This unique case is also of main concern for conservators, for planning the best repair mortars, that is to say as similar and compatible as possible to the original composition. In light of the survey results, the use of clay should be considered.

Regarding the painting materials, the palette consisted of glauconite, celadonite, Egyptian blue, red and yellow ochres. In some cases, an organic compound, possibly a lipidic compound, was present in the external paint layer. Calcium oxalate was detected in two samples. It might have originated from the occurrence of a micro-organism colonisation on the surfaces, or from the degradative oxidation product of organic materials used as a medium, or from past
conservation of the surface (Cariati et al. 2000; Rampazzi et al. 2004). Residues of organic compounds on the Sanctuary wall paintings would seem to indicate that past conservation treatments were the cause, in fact since the Roman age, natural organic treatments have been applied to stone surfaces for protection and maintenance (Rossi Manaresi 1993; Weil 1967). The compounds might be present as additives as well (Rampazzi et al. 2015 and references therein), for example with aims of improving the durability and the resistance, reducing the drying shrinkage, or increasing the plasticity and workability. Pliny the Elder in his *Naturalis Historia* and Vitruvius in his *De Architectura* mention that the Romans commonly used various additives in order to enhance the resistance of mortars, although the determination of additives in historical mortars has rarely been documented (Rampazzi et al. 2015 and references therein). In the case of the Republican Sanctuary, conservators [private communication] involved in the recent works, referred to the authors about a “shiny” appearance of the wall painting, which seems to suggest the use of organic compounds for surface treatment, rather than an original ingredient of the plasters.
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